

REMARKS

Claims 1-5, 7-15, and 17-30 are pending in the above-captioned application. Claims 6 and 16 were previously cancelled. Claim 20 has been amended. Claims 1, 15, 20, 24, 28, and 30 are in independent form.


Claims 20-23 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as based on a disclosure which is not enabling. The Examiner states that the fixed rail is critical or essential for the practice of the invention but is not included in the claims. In response, Applicants have amended independent claim 20 to claim both the fixed rail and the movable rail.

The Examiner also notes that there is insufficient antecedent basis for the limitation "said movable rail" in line 6 of claim 20. As mentioned above, Applicants have amended claim 20 to positively recite a movable rail. Therefore, there is not sufficient antecedent basis for the limitation "said movable rail" in line 6 of claim 20.

Therefore, Applicants respectfully request that the rejection of claims 20-23 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as not being enabling be withdrawn.

It is respectfully submitted that this patent application is in condition for allowance, which allowance is respectfully solicited. If the Examiner has any questions regarding this amendment or the patent application, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned.

Respectfully submitted,



Jay S. Paranjpe (Reg. No. 45,486)
Clark Hill PLC
500 Woodward Avenue, Suite 3500
Detroit, MI 48226-3435
(313) 965-8897

Date: 10/21/09
Attorney Docket No: 19339-104412